

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA FLORENCE DIVISION

HENRY JERMAINE DUKES	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
VS.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:09-690-HFF-TER
	§	
MUNICIPALITY OF CONWAY and	§	
JUDGE JANE A. MACKEY	§	
Defendants.	§	

ORDER

This case was filed as a Section 1983 action. Plaintiff is proceeding pro se. The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting that the Court dismiss the Complaint in this case without prejudice and without issuance and service of process. The Report was made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on April 27, 2009, but Plaintiff failed to file any

objections to the Report. In the absence of such objections, the Court is not required to give any

explanation for adopting the recommendation. Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th

Cir. 1985).

The Magistrate Judge recommends that the Court dismiss the Complaint based on the failure

to state a claim, immunity, and abstention. The Court adopts the Report to the extent that it

dismisses the Complaint based on the failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted and

immunity, so it need not reach the issue of abstention.

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set

forth above, the Court adopts the Report to the extent that it does not contradict this order and

incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment of the Court that the above-referenced action

is **DISMISSED** without prejudice and without issuance and service of process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 21st day of May, 2009, in Spartanburg, South Carolina.

s/ Henry F. Floyd

HENRY F. FLOYD

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified of the right to appeal this Order within thirty (30) days from

the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

2